

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

No: 24-1522

State of Iowa, et al.

Petitioners

v.

United States Securities and Exchange Commission

Respondent

District of Columbia, et al.

Intervenors

-----

Andrew N. Vollmer, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Petitioner

Institute for Policy Integrity at New York University School of Law, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Respondent

No: 24-1624

Liberty Energy, Inc. and Nomad Proppant Services, LLC

Petitioners

v.

United States Securities and Exchange Commission

Respondent

District of Columbia, et al.

Intervenors

-----

Andrew N. Vollmer, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Petitioner

Institute for Policy Integrity at New York University School of Law, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Respondent

No: 24-1626

Texas Alliance of Energy Producers and Domestic Energy Producers Alliance

Petitioners

v.

United States Securities and Exchange Commission

Respondent

District of Columbia, et al.

Intervenors

-----  
Andrew N. Vollmer, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Petitioner

Institute for Policy Integrity at New York University School of Law, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Respondent

No: 24-1627

State of Louisiana, et al.

Petitioners

v.

United States Securities and Exchange Commission

Respondent

District of Columbia, et al.

Intervenors

-----  
Andrew N. Vollmer, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Petitioner

Institute for Policy Integrity at New York University School of Law, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Respondent

No: 24-1628

Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America, et al.

Petitioners

v.

United States Securities and Exchange Commission

Respondent

District of Columbia, et al.

Intervenors

-----  
Andrew N. Vollmer, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Petitioner

Institute for Policy Integrity at New York University School of Law, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Respondent

No: 24-1631

Ohio Bureau of Workers' Compensation, et al.

Petitioners

v.

United States Securities and Exchange Commission

Respondent

District of Columbia, et al.

Intervenors

-----  
Andrew N. Vollmer, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Petitioner

Institute for Policy Integrity at New York University School of Law, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Respondent

No: 24-1634

State of West Virginia, et al.

Petitioners

v.

United States Securities and Exchange Commission

Respondent

District of Columbia, et al.

Intervenors

-----  
Andrew N. Vollmer, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Petitioner

Institute for Policy Integrity at New York University School of Law, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Respondent

No: 24-1685

National Legal and Policy Center and Oil and Gas Workers Association

Petitioners

v.

United States Securities and Exchange Commission

Respondent

District of Columbia, et al.

Intervenors

-----  
Andrew N. Vollmer, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Petitioner

Institute for Policy Integrity at New York University School of Law, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Respondent

No: 24-2173

National Center for Public Policy Research

Petitioner

v.

United States Securities and Exchange Commission

Respondent

District of Columbia, et al.

Intervenors

-----  
Andrew N. Vollmer, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Petitioner

Institute for Policy Integrity at New York University School of Law, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Respondent

---

Petition for Review of an Order of the Securities & Exchange Commission

(Release No 33-11275)

(Release No 34-99678)

(File No. S7-10-22)

(Release No 33-11275)

(Release No 34-99678)

(File No. S7-10-22)

(Release No 33-11275)

(Release No 34-99678)

(File No. S7-10-22)

(Release No 33-11275)

(Release No 34-99678)

(File No. S7-10-22)

(Release No 33-11275)

(Release No 34-99678)

(File No. S7-10-22)

---

## ORDER

The motion of Massachusetts, et al., to intervene as respondents in No. 24-1685 and No. 24-2173 is granted.

The motion of the intervenor States to hold these cases in abeyance is granted. The cases will be held in abeyance pending further order of the court. The Securities and Exchange Commission is directed to file within 90 days a status report advising whether the Commission intends to review or reconsider the rules at issue in this case. If the Commission has determined to take no action, then the status report should address whether the Commission will adhere to the rules if the petitions for review are denied and, if not, why the Commission will not review or reconsider the rules at this time.

April 24, 2025

Order Entered at the Direction of the Court:  
Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.

---

/s/ Susan E. Bindler